

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 60 No. 19

24th May 2024

IN THIS ISSUE

Immigration and Housing By Neville Archibald	1
Sleight of Hand (legerdemain) By Arnis Luks	4
Freedom of Thought By Neville Archibald	7

Thought for the Week: John 8:44 *You have the devil as your father, and you want to fulfill your Father's desires. He was a murderer from the beginning and did not persevere in the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he speaks falsely, he speaks of his own, for he is a liar and the father of lies.*

Immigration and Housing By Neville Archibald

The debate over immigration again rears its head in Parliament, this time rehousing. Sadly, the larger issues we face due to unrestricted immigration are never legitimately considered and like many items that need proper debate, it is swept aside with accusations of racism and cold hearted-ness instead of rational and open discussion. Am I a bleeding heart? Don't I wish to help all the helpless in the world? End hunger, poverty, war? Yes, I do. Can I? In reality, NO!

Even the most well-meaning must realise that true change takes time and to change the structure of a nation takes even longer. They must be willing to put in the necessary work to facilitate the change, themselves.

While I may choose to do so, if I handed out all my cash to the beggars and the homeless when I visit the city streets, it would not fix the actual problem. If I spend all my available cash in this way, I would have no way to buy a ticket home, no money to buy my own food, and while I could walk home or go hungry, I can only do this for so long before I too become homeless and hungry.

At that point we are all in the same position and I have just added me-and-mine to the queues with their hands out for help.

As a nation we can also reach this point if we are not careful. Our wealth is not unlimited and by driving down our ability to help, we help no one, including ourselves. We do face a housing shortage, we have a lack of infrastructure to support more housing, we have a lack of employment opportunities also.

Our own people are being pushed into a position where they cannot afford to live, let alone help those less well off than themselves. When it is difficult to meet rental costs or a mortgage payment or even just keep our necessary bill payments up to date, we are of no use to anyone in need.

The steady increase in demand for housing has driven up prices for both purchaser and renter alike. Government policy to house all immigrants and allow such huge numbers in each year has far exceeded our ability to cope. Maybe that's the actual plan.

This is not just an Australian problem, most of the wealthier western nations have been doing exactly the same thing with massive immigration levels.

Gone is the ability of our children to live what would have been considered a "normal" life. They leave home to work and find it nearly impossible to obtain rental accommodation. Prices so high that even when sharing costs with friends, a bedroom-cost eats into their wage and leaves little for daily survival. Obtaining a loan to buy is often out of the question, even with two incomes for those wishing to become families themselves. We are rapidly finding ourselves competing unsuccessfully with public housing demand, as those who have nothing must have something. Our government has deeper pockets than we do. They just increase our levels of debt for housing - as policy.

Government policy for social housing or increasing the number of builds is far from adequate, so far, that, if it were not so serious it would be laughable. Our deficit of housing was around 650,000 last year, government policy lauds itself as doing something when it promises 50,000 over the next ten years! I can't just leave it there either, as council (local government bureaucracy sponsored by increasingly global ideals) makes any build incredibly difficult and any renovation equally so. That is without counting the cost of meeting, what is increasingly becoming unrealistic expectations. Building restrictions, taxes, land tax and ever-increasing rates and charges makes a cheaper solution impossible.

A lack of employment opportunities also adds to this difficulty. Money is not available for doing these productive things. The largest rise of employment has been the public sector. The paper shuffling of an ever-expanding bureaucracy is a drain on the real economy. It must be paid for by taxes, for that is the only way our government can obtain money - unless by further borrowing and that only puts off the collection of greater taxes onto future generations.

The West's increased insistence on taking in ever larger numbers of "refugees" has not solved the problem that creates this rush to an easier life. The countries these people are fleeing need reform. They are either governed by tyrants or mismanaged by corruption. Very few are coming from anything other than man-made-disasters. This continuous flow of those opting out, will not abate if the actual reasons for the flow are not fixed and only they can fix it, which they won't do if they are here. I do not doubt that there are genuine refugees who may suffer if they returned home. But these are very few in number.

In our history we had to develop solutions for the very problems they now face, and we did it. The cost of this was often in countless lives lost in the battles for freedom and

basic human rights. Indeed, we fought two world wars over rights and freedoms. Even our own country whose rights and freedoms were not directly threatened, except by Japanese bombers. We have dragged ourselves up over the past two centuries to achieve what we have today. Sadly, we are steadily losing those very rights at this point! Who are we really helping? if we continually prop up corrupt regimes by taking in those they don't want, or those that really should be fighting their own corruption, and improving the lot within their own countries.

Many are aghast at the idea of fighting wars in countries other than our own. Would we invade one of these high migration feeding countries and impose our laws for freedom on them? Would we remove corrupt leaders and their cronies? I think if we attempted to do this, like Gallipoli, all hell would break loose, and we would be accused of colonialism or being dictatorial. NO, this problem can only be stopped at it's roots. It will only be fixed by the people of that country helping themselves, demanding and ensuring change. If they ask, we should consider, like a parent, how much help we should give, for it is THEIR independence.

Before I get criticised for “acting superior”, “treating them like children” or being condescending, think carefully about the criticisms levelled within our own society. We are constantly harassed (even within our own country) by people accusing us of colonial interference in our own history, yet these are the very things that gave us our freedoms. These are the things that made us who we are. What we have is what this large number of refugees want. That should tell you something.

Freedom and the right to self-determination must be fought for, they cannot be imposed, or like our current population, they will not realise what they have to loose. There will always be those out there who wish to rule over us. The desire to become not just leaders, but dictators, whose power means comfort and opportunity to exploit nations with impunity. Every so often our politicians must be reminded of who they work for, what reason they exist at all. Until we get our own government back under OUR control and force them to act in the interest of OUR community, we must stop allowing such large numbers of migrants in.

The money spent on feeding, housing and medical care is huge. Even a part of that spend used in the right way would alleviate many of the problems in their own countries who would benefit far more in the long run. The destabilising nature of a large population influx, whose customs and laws have been entirely different, does not help our social cohesion. In fact it divides us more at a time where we should be uniting. All of the major western nations are following this plan. All have high immigration numbers; all have given preference to house migrants over their own citizens. All have had house prices and living costs driven up to an unsustainable level. All have continually extended their own debts to cover immigration infrastructure, and now all are facing unrest. If this continues, far from raising the wealth of the world, we will all be dragged down to the point where global recovery is nigh on impossible. But then, maybe that is the actual purpose of this unsustainable policy.

Sleight of Hand (legerdemain) By Arnis Luks

This past week I have come across some discrete information about 'The City' - the square mile of London. A short documentary by Prince Edward the brother of King Charles, revealed 'The City' as having three distinct branches or cords to its structure. *The City Of London - Funder of the State* here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PdY4ixKHg2o>

The Bank of England - banking being only one part of its overall structure.

The Exchange - the stock-exchange is another. **The Livery**, which is a conglomeration of guilds, merchant associations, and probably discrete societies running below the surface of the public gaze.

I also found a PDF copy of the title '*The Empire of "The City" - the Jekyll/Hyde Nature of the British Government or (The Secret History Of British Financial Power)* by EC Knuth'. Having read more than half of this book I noted even-the-author's-take, as deep as he had delved, somewhat naïve in regard to credit creation *ex nihilo* - out of nothing. This being the world-wide racket we refer to as modern banking. The final source was a thesis across 10 years - '*Things Fall Apart: a History of the State Bank of South Australia, 2002*' by former lecturer in politics at the University of Adelaide Dr Greg McCarthy.

These three sources assist to build a comprehensive picture, a perspective of the machinations of banking to drive policy, and being that 'power above politics' that central banking has become.

The City Of London - Funder of the State

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PdY4ixKHg2o>

Things Fall Apart: a History of the State Bank of South Australia, 2002 -

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/McCarthy_G-Things_Fall_Apart.pdf

The Empire of the City - <https://archive.org/details/TheEmpireOftheCity>

Inflation as the Crippling Financial Menace

Today's paper affirms that more than 1 million workers are now within the top tax bracket of 47% of every dollar earned, plus the Medicare Levy 2%, plus the Medicare Levy Surcharge to those who do not participate with hospital health insurance.

In 1901 Australia there was no such thing as personal income tax. The 1901 arrangement between the Commonwealth and the States over taxation was such that customs and excise was sufficient to administer all levels of government, and to be divided 25% to the Commonwealth and 75% to the States. Now it is \$Trillions to the Commonwealth and still insufficient. Centralised Bureaucracy gone mad. A paper covering the progressive development of the Tax-take since 1901 is available here: https://treasury.gov.au/sites/default/files/2019-03/01_Brief_History.pdf

The cancerous nature of progressive taxation, as recorded in *The Communist Manifesto* by Marx and Engels, was one of the 10 necessary steps to communise

a country. Socialist governments, whether Coalition or Labor, continue to inflict to greater and greater degrees this insidious confiscation of spending power with complete abandon, and with no end in sight. Say that again, *no-end-in-sight*. Taxation and Inflation as policy to financially emasculate almost all the people.

Income tax was first levied from both State and the Commonwealth as a necessary war measure around 1915, being around 5% GDP, consolidated to the Commonwealth in 1942. From 5% of GDP then, to more than 35% now, with No-End-In-Sight! This is what communism, or some would attempt to water-down the label by calling it socialism, is really like. You will own nothing and be happy. Inflation and confiscation through progressive taxation – each a financial-tactic weaponised to progressively enslave us all. Get used to it because it is not going to cease until you and I personally decide to do something about it.

Legitimate leadership that should call this out is just cowering away, or sulking under the table hoping they won't be seen with their own many little perks.

Lack of Legitimate Leadership

I noted a recent call to prayer-and-fasting rather than a legitimate call for militancy, (resisting tyranny as a Christian duty building upon the bodies of the martyrs!). I also noted a call from the church leadership to establish a world-wide charta for banking and finance. I immediately thought of the CBDC Central Bank Digital Currency proposal, again revealing this discrete global power of central banking wishing to cement their control over every nation, and individual, further.

That all denominations are incestuous with central banking - the 'whore of Babylon', is no longer a surprise. How could the calling to militancy be inverted so successfully, I thought. Psychological and demoralising-fear is such a parasitic-weapon against a people who have lost their confidence in the power of the Gospel message – a message relevant and timely for all ages. What of our history of the Christian martyrs! Aren't our own children important enough to protect?

A NSW council was hounded by a demonstration outside the chambers about the content of certain library books. Recent revelations have uncovered depictions of pornography and bestiality for 4yo's and above. I also noted council funding was under threat. The key to understanding this perceived threat is to identify what level of bureaucracy this threat comes from - state or federal, or even international? The council capitulated to the mob and the bureaucracy, rather than face them down under a legitimate banner of decency. Australian adults have lost confidence in civilisational norms, to uphold our cultural inheritance built-up across millennia.

Christian militancy, to bring about God's kingdom on earth as in heaven. No wonder they wanted so desperately to destroy Ben Roberts-Smith as a living archetypal-example of traditional manhood – outstanding-bravery, personal-discipline, toughness, resilience, and determination against formidable odds.

Nothing will change under a NSW or Federal Coalition government. It hasn't

before and it won't in the future. They are equally part of the problem. Handing over our own personal responsibility is not a realistic option to those who have repeatedly turned to water at every critical moment - *you will know them by their fruits*.

Those who do care - enough - need to reciprocate with legitimate militancy, upholding traditional values that were once common, until this council amongst many others, reverses this so poorly thought through decision. We are in a state of constant war over the existence of our cultural heritage, and we underestimate the nature of the enemy. The revolutionaries won't give up in their campaign to destroy the traditional family structure that has held good from time immemorial.

Gen 1:27 *So God created man in His own image; in the image of God He created him; male and female He created them...* This needs to be called out for what it is, the de-construction of the norms of all civilised cultures, in their attempt to rebuild anew – a continuous state of revolution as 'communist-humanist-man' sees fit, rather than God as King and Lord of all, the natural law, the nature of how things have worked best forever, being upheld. The enemy will not let up with their wave upon wave of cultural de-construction, unless we deliberately and purposefully take up our own cross and bear the responsibility of this age. Will history judge us as having cowered, skulked away rather than face the music? One news service was even reporting about ghosts, - we have been so pushed back to degeneracy, capitulation and fear. Over these traditional values we each must be strong and militant to uphold and restore the solid ground, the foundation of all civilisations that is being eroded and lost through indifference and complacency. We will not avoid this fate by simply doing nothing at all. This is a fight that must be faced, had and overcome by zeal and faith, in spite of what may appear to be formidable odds. Our future, our children's future are relying on us to perform our duty, as those who have gone before so readily did. The ANZAC spirit is the same for us and all those who call themselves Australians.

Immigration as a Vote Garnishing Strategy

Taking into account the fiasco of the just delivered Federal Budget, a thought flashed through my mind. What if the government in their promotion of massive immigration to the tune of 700,000 per annum, strategically placed those same new arrivals into marginal electorates to shore up the vote towards the incumbent? What if there was a strategy out there which appears to be repeated across the free world? New arrivals, illegal or not, given the vote to shore up the existing government.

Looking at the USA, Canada and Britain, this point of view is not so far-fetched. Is this why the Coalition is almost silent, already holding bipartisan support for significant immigration to the tune of 200,000+ per annum rather than 700,000? To shore up their own electoral chances every election cycle? *By their fruits...* I don't think this is a stretch too far at all. They may make some minor spluttering, now, but the policy will never change when they once more regain power. Bah humbug XXXX – Bah Humbug - ***By their fruits you will know them***

Freedom of Thought By Neville Archibald

To look at the freedoms we have today and consider the quality of those freedoms, we must compare it to something in the past. It is of little value to look into the more recent past and examine in fine detail those small imperfections still being worked through. To focus on these imperfections solely, is to miss the point of the long term intention or trend towards greater or lesser freedoms amongst differing cultures.

The history of civilisational development is littered with failed examples. It is the overall rise in freedoms that matters, how far we have come from those early days, not so much whether we have stumbled or not on our way. The focus on this stumbling is neither a true reflection of the entire journey, nor a realistic indicator of how far we've come in achieving these further freedoms and enduring rights.

To judge a previous time in history by our current standards, is also not only unfair, but will give you a false view of the achievement they may have been trying for.

In the same way that you would not go into a primitive society and expect cleanliness standards to be the same as today. Indeed, you cannot look at any function of social interaction and expect it to reflect current standards. (Running water and sewerage in the home is a relatively modern construct, not necessarily in every society, equally.)

Rule by tyrant or chief, where their word is law, with no exceptions, is better in some degree than the complete anarchy that preceded it.

A run of 'just' Kings gives rise to a better, more just, society. One bad King will make you realise what you previously had; hopefully enough to make you attempt to make the bad adhere to what was previously accepted. As the goals of a society shift, (advancing hopefully), it will be reflected in its laws and customs. Hard won, those rights should remain in place, but not always. In our attempt to understand this, we must consider that significant change does not happen overnight. Each step can take generations to become part of the next societal norm and expectation.

The history of the English culture of Christian based 'rule by law' is the history of progressive development, of expanding individual freedoms and rights. From anarchy and war with each other, whereby Alfred the Great united that fledgling nation to resist the invading vikings; up to the unjust rule of King John and his eventual capitulation at Runnymede, where he was made to recognise the inalienable rights of all individuals, even the king as well, under the laws of custom, or common, and ultimately God.

The push by the suffragettes for female emancipation, the rights around equality of the sexes, was slow to occur and relatively recent, yet it did happen! The abolition of slavery and the recognition of those fundamental rights for all, also took some time.

If you consider the last two examples and look around the world, you will see that both of these things are still happening in some way in many places. These things that we now consider abhorrent, are alive and well within nations that are active members of the UN, or in those who actively trade with us. Despite this, many cry out about the supposed injustices that are faced here, as if they were as bad as that, that may be faced, should they return to those restrictive countries.

We are all wronged at times, by a system that is to some extent impersonal. There are many who, for whatever reason, cling to power and are slow to accept change. This change is often generational and no amount of desire to see it happen 'now', will push it any quicker. This battle against injustice, this fight for individual freedom, is ongoing for every generation.

In a progressive society like ours in the west, there are often more forces working against you than you are willing to realise. The forces of collectivism or socialism, masked by the slowly, slowly, of Fabian Marxism can no longer be denied. When raising your concerns about societal's direction only promotes hostility, it should be apparent that something is seriously wrong. It may be a genuine concern on your part that needs to be raised and then addressed, but the perpetrators (read collectivist) turn the tables on you to avoid being exposed. They accuse you of creating division when all you are really doing is pointing out that what 'they' are doing, for their own purposes, is furthering their own ideology. This is what they are trying to do, to impose a foreign ideology, a foreign philosophy onto what is predominantly a Christian nation. In this we have no Voice at all. When Pauline Hanson was elected to parliament and brought this topic into the open, many sighed with relief. It was a very refreshing thing to hear the request for a full and open debate. Instead of what I considered a rational response, the vehemence of the attack upon her was appalling and the real concerns and questions of what we want as a nation was quickly shut down.

Every time this subject is raised, our desires for a continued cohesive nation is suppressed and ridden over by an outside voice that does not come from the people.

Cultures Differ, Yet We Have No Choice, Or Voice Of Our Own

In a country, when a large portion of the population is foreign born, there can be differing ideologies or philosophies that can create friction. The Christian outlook to those different from us, is to treat them all fairly, ensuring their rights and freedoms are upheld.

Unfortunately, this is not always reciprocated, as others hold their own views just as sacred as we do ours, even though those views may be unacceptable in our own society.

The story of Australia has been one of tolerance, similar to much of the Western world. For decades we have fought for justice and fairness, often improving the position of all within our own country, at our own personal expense. We have worked to achieve what we have through our parents, their parents, and going back even further, 'til we now have a life where we are not continually looking over our shoulder for danger, we are not worrying about our womenfolk or children being abused or taken advantage of. There are; however, other countries that have not made that leap to equality and fairness, justice for all, at least not as we expect it. Places where women are treated as second rate citizens. Where one law for the male community does not necessarily apply to the female. They must behave in certain ways: be subordinate to, and defer to, the male of the household - and are subject to punishment by those laws if they disobey, even to the stoning to death if warranted. Yes! This still happens in other parts of this world.

This year, over 650,000 new migrants will be allowed to call Australia home. A large proportion of these new arrivals may still believe in those restrictive laws, those of their previous homeland. Their philosophy in this case, may be at variance with our own, of freedom and rights being the same for all. And yet we are not afforded an open and honest public discussion about these vital issues that affect us all.

Before you begin to criticise me for sowing hate or being racist, you must examine the experience of living examples, that I give. Use your own memory of growing up in your own community of Australia these past decades. I have friends with many different backgrounds who will acknowledge the difficulty they faced when their families first arrived. Over generations their assimilation has occurred. Not all at once for all, but across generations. After the first and second world wars many migrants came to this country: Italian, Greek, Turkish and Eastern European. They brought with them their cultures and beliefs and slowly but surely became

homogeneous with us and our way of living.

Did this happen overnight? Was it a seamless transition? Of course not. Many difficulties were faced by the next few generations as they adapted and gradually assimilated. Original practices, acceptable in their previous homelands were not so acceptable here. I am sure if you think about it you too can remember examples of this.

Generational Change

In the past, the much lower numbers who came to our country, lived among us and changed as they became slowly convinced of our better way of life. For many it was difficult and the next generation were usually those who affected those changes more readily. Those first generations born here, had some difficulties as did the second. Not in all cases, granted, but we are still talking generational change to finally assimilate into the host nation.

Human nature is often like that. Fitting in takes time. We would all like to believe everything runs smoothly in this day and age and that common sense prevails and the problems of the past will not be the problems of now. Sadly this is not the reality. Human frailty exists now, as it did then. Human nature does not change; quickly.

Numbers were lower then too, troubles did occur and feeling ran high at times. To pretend otherwise is naive. One of the interesting things not often discussed, is the fact that many of these earlier migrants, although different, were still predominantly Christian and shared our basic belief system and concept of right and wrong, all under God. Ultimately then, we all recognised that we held similar views, a similar philosophy, with only minor variations.

Differing Philosophies, With No Opportunity To Openly Discuss

The influx now, so much more numerically, is also predominantly of a differing philosophical basis. Many may be from totalitarian regimes, whose history has not been one that has tolerated freedom for the individual; but, in fact repressed them under a collective arrangement, often requiring neighbour to turn on neighbour, or to turn a blind eye to corruption, or to use that same corruption so that they themselves could advance.

Many have come from war torn regions, where daily violence played out just to stay alive. A battlefield for even a most basic life, existed. It is these people that will need help to recover, rehabilitate, and assimilate, like a soldier returning from war. This process requires patience and understanding from those closest to them. In smaller numbers we may cope, we may help. In these larger numbers we are promoting problems, not just for us, but for them too. This is our country with our own embodied philosophy, and if we are to help others in need it must be our decision, not something being rammed down our throats. This will only foster feelings of ill-will that will fester.

Stifling Thought

It can be hard to talk of these things without setting off a round of indignation, and even possibly litigation. In the same way that the press descended on Moira Deeming for being pro-women. In this strange world of woke, open rational discussion of our future security and of the many concerns we might have in moving forward, we are not even being allowed to make utterances. What of all those Rights and Freedoms that were so hard won by our forebears, and are so much a part of us, that we no longer question how we got them? Indeed anybody questioning this and trying to ask us to look at how we are to keep those rights and freedoms is howled down. The assumption by the howlers is that everybody is the same and that we shouldn't even question what is being imposed over us, to accept a differing and foreign culture, a differing set of rules to abide by, with no opportunity for open and frank discussion.

I contend that everybody has the right to be treated the same; but, I can also see the reality

that we are not all the same philosophically. Hitler, Mussolini, Stalin and Pol Pot, were all people who had the right to be the same as those around them, indeed given the culture and surrounding, the exposure to an up-bringing like ours, maybe they could have been the same. I myself doubt this, as flawed individuals exist, even within the best networks of stable societies. Our prisons show us this. There will always be people that will not abide by the rules, the undergirding philosophy that sets the basis of those social rules to which we adhere. This discussion must be had for the sake of our nation, for the sake of a stable future. An examination of the difficulties faced in the 1940s and 1950s with new arrivals, needs to be compared to today's arrivals, who are arriving in far greater numbers, many differing in their philosophical outlook on life. The question of how we are to cope with this increased level of immigration is never asked. How is it that we may not even have a right to ask this most important question. Where do we house them? What infrastructure do we have to build? Can we do all this at this unsustainable rate of immigration? Many of these things took generations to achieve. Is this what we want to leave for our future generations, lower standards of living caused by more expensive housing and the like? I cannot see, nor have I ever seen philosophical changes occurring overnight. The bias of every culture is passed on through generations. The potential for an exaggerated clash of these cultures 'today' must always a part of our consideration towards the levels of immigration we can cope with. To think otherwise is delusional. Ask those who have experienced similar levels of immigration - European nations including Britain, Canada and the USA. We must consider our own plight, will we see here what we are in fact already seeing in other parts of the world. Open and public discussion must be had, in spite of our politicians and bureaucrats attempts to shut it down. Much of our crime is under-reported - kept from us deliberately unless it serves another political purpose. The scream of racism, despite the actual crime experienced, is often louder than any truth in reporting. We are not allowed to hear the complete truth, and they, the MSM are being sponsored by our governments 'not to tell us'. We must have this discussion amongst ourselves, in spite of our captured politicians, bureaucrats and compliant MSM. Otherwise will all the historical effort to date, the personal sacrifices made from previous generations of Australians and others, matter? Will our ancient rights and freedoms continue on their advancement towards equality and fairness for all, or be usurped in the desire to see that all 'other rights' are being observed, no matter how unequal. Will we find ourselves living in a dystopia that looks more like our distant past, squandering the civilisational progress already achieved. We must face down and resolve these questions with all seriousness, or live with the consequences of our own 'failure to act' accordingly, and force our offspring to accept, to our individual shame, the loss of our ancient and freedom loving culture.

I sincerely hope not.

Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Donations can also be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Essential reading:

On Planning The Earth

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs_G-On_Planning_the_Earth.pdf

The Local World

By Geoffrey Dobbs

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs_G-The_Local_World.pdf